

SPO (R)/PC-2/NH/1815, dated 13-4-1962 is as given below :—

<i>Point raised</i>	<i>Clarification</i>
1. Whether board's orders are applicable to all classes of Railway servants, Gazetted and Non-gazetted ?	The orders are not applicable to Gazetted Officers.
2. Whether the monetary compensation as envisaged in para. 1 of their letter of 10-8-1961 will be applicable when the day of rest of an employee and the National Holiday fall on the same day even if he is not required to work for that day ?	The cash compensation is payable even when a person is on rest. It is not necessary that his rest should be abrogated to be eligible for the cash compensation.
3. Whether the shift duty staff who work round the clock and might have performed part duty on the holiday in question can be paid the additional pay at the full prescribed rates?	Yes.
4. Whether supervisory staff who enjoy public holidays but are not eligible for Compensatory Casual Leave for performing the duties on holidays, if required to work on the National Holidays in the interest of Administration will be entitled to additional pay ?	The cash compensation is meant only for those who do not enjoy Public holidays and are also required to work on the National Holidays.
5. Whether the monetary limit of Rs. 500/- p.m. is applicable, equally to those on the authorised prescribed or pre-1931 scales ?	The limit of Rs. 500/- p.m. is applicable irrespective of the scale of pay.

**Serial No. 1596.—Circular No. 42-E/O-2(Eiv), dated 23-5-1962.**

**Sub.—Exemption of certain staff for the 21 days rule regarding officiating arrangements.**

It has been brought to the notice of the Railway Board that in certain cases officiating arrangements were made in categories where there was no restriction on the officiating period but since no officiating orders as such were issued, the employees concerned were not paid the officiating allowance.

2. In this connection the Board have invited attention to the instructions issued in their letter No. E-58CFP/3, dated 11-4-1959 circulated vide this office letter No. 831-E/253/2 (Eiv), dated 9-5-1959 and have desired that necessary